



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,950	11/08/2006	Roger William Frank Ashton	10557/323978	1482
30559	7590	07/15/2009		
DIANA HOUSTON SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. 1450 BROOKS ROAD MEMPHIS, TN 38116			EXAMINER SWEET, THOMAS	
			ART UNIT 3774	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/15/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/559,950

Applicant(s)

ASHTON ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas J. Sweet

Art Unit

3774

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas J. Sweet.(3) Jeff Sharp.(2) Renee Bailey.(4) Tom Wolf.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tornier (US 6454809), Gamett (US 3787132).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection was questioned and the rejection as contemplated was explained. The Examiner suggested tightening the language of claim 7 and incorporating it into claim 1. The intended use feature of the female location feature (dovetail/conical portion) adjustably rotating within the fixing member defines over the prior art rejection as well as defining conical surfaces capable of rotating in the mixing member.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas J Sweet/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774